



Nuremberg Moot Court 2025

Clarification on Points of Fact

Thank you for your interest in and enthusiasm for the Nuremberg Moot Court 2025.

Preliminarily, it is important to note that a moot court scenario is, by definition, necessarily vague in order to provide enough room for debate and the development of arguments for both the prosecution and the defence.

Kindly note that we have considered all the requests for clarifications on points of fact carefully. Questions raised but not addressed below were considered already answered by the case or irrelevant for the preparation of the Pre-Trial Chamber (PTC) hearing.

Your written memoranda shall follow the agenda for the PTC hearing.

Clarification 1: At this stage of proceedings (a status conference before the confirmation of charges hearing), the only crime addressed is that of direct and public incitement to genocide.

Clarification 2: In paragraph 21, it should read "On 31 March 2022, the Appeals Court upheld his conviction but reduced his sentence to the time that he had already served in detention, which was **two** months."

Clarification 3: Jaspar Rhodes was charged under paragraph 2 of the domestic hate speech law.